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## 1 A classification under 1-D Gaussian distribution

Exercise 1 Assuming two classes $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ each follows 1-D Gaussian $N(\mu=3, \sigma=1)$ and $N(\mu=7, \sigma=2)$, respectively. (i) Create 20 sample points from each classes at random and plot them in $x$-axis. (ii) Then classify the data point, say, $x=5$. Does this point belong to $\omega_{1}$ or $\omega_{2}$ ? How about the other point, say, (iii) $x=4.5$ or (iv) $x=5.5$ ?

First, you must recall 1-dimensional Gaussian p.d.f. See as follows.

$$
p(x \mid \omega)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}\right\}
$$

where $\mu$ is mean value and $\sigma$ is standard deviation of the distribution.
An example of 100 points following a p.d.f. is like bellow.


## 2 Inference



Assume all the parameter as follows:


|  | $p$ (which \| when) |  | $p$ (which \| where) |  |  | p(color \| Which) |  |  |  | p(thickness \| when) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salmon | Seabass |  | Salmon | Seabass |  | Light | Medium | Dark |  | Salmon | eabass |
| Winter | 0.90 | 0.10 | North | 0.65 | 0.35 | Salmon | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.34 | Salmon | 0.40 | 0.60 |
| Spring | 0.30 | 0.70 | South | 0.25 | 0.75 | Seabass | 0.80 | 0.10 | 0.10 | Seabass | 0.95 | 0.05 |
| Summer | 0.40 | 0.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Autumn | 0.80 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 1: Given primer and conditional probabilities of Salmon and Sea-bass.

Exercise 2 Create a program that asks a user (i) which valuable is the hyposethes and (ii) which valuables are evidences, from one valuable to the next.

- Is $<a$ : winter $>$ in $<A$ : When $>$
- your hypotheses? [yes][no]
- your evidence? [yes][no]

After the user specify his/her hypotheses, then stop asking this hereafter, only keep asking evidence from one item to the next.

