

# On data clustering with artificial ants

N. Monmarché

Laboratoire d'Informatique de l'Université de Tours,  
Ecole d'Ingénieurs en Informatique pour l'industrie (E3i),  
64 av. Jean Portalis, 37200 Tours, FRANCE  
monmarche@univ-tours.fr  
Phone: +33-2-47-36-14-14  
Fax: +33-2-47-36-14-22

## Abstract

We present in this paper a new ant based approach named AntClass for data clustering. This algorithm uses the stochastic principles of an ant colony in conjunction with the deterministic principles of the Kmeans algorithm. It first creates an initial partition using an improved ant-based approach, which does not require any information on the input data (such as the number of classes, or an initial partition). Then it uses the Kmeans to speed up the convergence of the stochastic approach. In a second phase, AntClass uses hierarchical clustering where ants may cluster together heaps of objects and not just objects. We also use an heterogeneous population of ants in order to avoid complex parameter settings. We show on typical benchmark databases that AntClass is competitive with other approaches such as the Kmeans or ISODATA.

## Introduction

We consider in this paper the problem of unsupervised data classification, where clusters must be found in a numerical data set. Many standard approaches like the Kmeans or ISODATA are limited because they generally require the a priori knowledge of a probable number of classes and an initial partition. Furthermore, they also use heuristic principles which are often locally optimal.

Among the approaches that can be used to improve those standard methods, one may for instance mention Bayesian classification with AutoClass (Cheeseman and Stutz 1996), genetic-based approaches (Jones and Beltrano 1991) (Cucchiara 1993) and ant-based approaches (Deneubourg et al. 1990) (Lumer and Faieta 1994) (Kuntz and Snyers 1994) (Kuntz et al. 1997). In AutoClass, the most probable classifications are searched but the domain expert needs to perform complex parameter settings. In GA-based approaches, an individual represents a possible partition of the data set. The GA thus manages an explicit partition, and evaluates this partition with a global evaluation function. The representation of the partition can be done with permutations as in (Jones and Beltrano 1991), or with a direct binary encoding of the objects membership as in

(Cucchiara 1993). GAs can also be used to provide an initial partition to the Kmeans algorithm (Babu and Murty 1993). As will be seen in the following, the ant based approach is quite different because the evolved partition is not represented as a centralized individual but is rather distributed. There is no global evaluation function as in GAs to which each ant would have access. Using artificial ants for clustering, instead of GAs for instance, is quite sensible because this is one of the problems that real ants have to solve. Real ants naturally cluster together eggs or dead bodies into heaps in a distributed way. So in some way, the artificial ant model for clustering is maybe “closer” to the clustering problem than the genetic model, and we are thus expecting it to work better and faster because it may use additional local heuristics. However, we do not provide in this paper a comparison of AntClass with a genetic approach but rather with the Kmeans and ISODATA algorithms.

In ant-based approaches, several papers have highlighted the efficiency of stochastic approaches to problems similar to data clustering. One of the first studies related to this domain is due to (Deneubourg et al. 1991), where a population of ant-like agents randomly moving onto a 2D grid are allowed to move basic objects so as to classify them. This method has been further developed by (Lumer and Faieta 1994), with simple objects that represent records in a numerical data set, and then by (Kuntz and Snyers 1994) (Kuntz et al. 1997) where a real clustering problem is studied in order to efficiently resolve an optimization problem.

Based on this existing work, we contribute in this paper to the study of clustering ants from the knowledge discovery point of view, with the aim of solving real world problems. We improve the work of Lumer and Faieta in several ways, such as introducing more robust ant-like heuristics, dealing with “unassigned objects”, speeding up convergence with the Kmeans algorithm, using hierarchical clustering on heaps of objects, testing the resulting algorithm on several real world data sets and providing a successful comparison with the Kmeans and ISODATA algorithms. Due to limited space, we will only present here the main principles of AntClass, but the interested reader may refer to (Monmarché et

al. 1999) for more details.

## Main principles of AntClass

The ant colony described here follows the broad outlines of the principles commonly used in this domain. Still, we also introduce some important differences linked to the studied problem.

Each data is a vector of  $n$  real values and is symbolized by an object. Initially, all the objects are randomly scattered over a 2D toroidal and square grid which size is automatically scaled to the database. During the execution of the algorithm, objects can be piled up on the same cell, constituting heaps. A heap thereby represents a class or cluster. The distance between 2 objects  $X$  and  $Y$  can be calculated by the Euclidean distance between 2 points in  $R^n$ . The center of a class is determined by the center of mass of its points. There is no link between the position of an object on the grid and the value of its attributes in  $R^n$ .

A fixed number of ants (20 in the following) move onto the 2D grid and can perform different actions. Each ant moves at each iteration, and can possibly drop or pick up an object according to its state. If an ant does not carry an object, it can:

- pick up a single object from a neighbouring cell according to a fixed probability,
- pick up the most dissimilar object of a heap from a neighbouring cell (that is, the most distant object from the center of mass of the heap).

If an ant carries an object  $O$ , it can:

- drop  $O$  on a neighbouring empty cell with a fixed probability,
- drop  $O$  on a neighbouring single object  $O'$  if  $O$  and  $O'$  are close enough to each other (according to a dissimilarity threshold expressed as a percentage of the maximum dissimilarity in the database),
- drop  $O$  on a neighbouring heap  $H$  if  $O$  is close enough to the center of mass of  $H$  (on again, according to another dissimilarity threshold).

Initially this ant based algorithm is applied to the database because it has the following advantage: it does not require any information such as the number of classes, or an initial partition. The created partition is however compound of too many clusters (but which are quite homogenous) and with some obvious classification errors, because we stop the algorithm before convergence which would be too long to obtain.

So then we use the Kmeans algorithm to remove small classification errors, and also to assign “free” objects, i.e. objects left alone on the board but also objects still carried by the ants when the algorithm stops. This removes really the classification errors, but since the Kmeans is locally optimal only and since we provide it with too many clusters, the obtained partition still contains too many but very homogenous clusters.

Therefore, we have applied on again the ant-based algorithm but on heaps of objects rather than single

objects: during this second part, previously created heaps can be picked up and dropped by ants as if they were objects. We use the same ant-based algorithms as previously mentioned, but adapted for heaps. For instance, one can define a distance between two heaps as the distance between their center of mass. This part of AntClass can be seen as hierarchical clustering: the ants first work on the objects, constituting small but very homogenous heaps. Then, working directly on these heaps as if they were objects, they will hierarchically build more important classes. At the end of this step, the real number of classes is very well approximated, but as mentioned previously, there are still some heaps which are not assigned. Therefore we use once more the Kmeans algorithm to obtain the final partition. But this time, since the input partition given to the Kmeans is very close to the “optimal” one, the output is of high quality.

So AntClass consists mainly in four steps: (1) ant-based algorithm for clustering objects, followed by (2) the Kmeans algorithm using the initial partition provided by the ants, and then (3) ant-based clustering but on heaps previously found, and finally (4) the Kmeans algorithm on objects once more.

## Results and conclusion

For all the databases hereafter mentioned, the results are obtained in a about 10 seconds on a standard PC (Pentium 166MHz) for one run. All results have been averaged over 50 runs. We have used the following databases (numbers in brackets indicate respectively, the number of objects, the number of numerical attributes, and the number of classes): Artif. 1 (80, 2, 4), Artif. 2 (270, 2, 9), Artif. 3 (200, 2, 4), Artif. 4 (150, 10, 3), Iris (178, 4, 3) (Fisher 1936), Wine (178, 13, 3), Glass (214, 9, 2-6), Soybean (47, 21, 4), Thyroid (215, 5, 3). “Artif. 1” to “Artif. 4” have been used to evaluate AntClass on databases with known properties where the examples are generated according to gaussian laws (in the same way as Lumer and Faieta). The other real world databases come from the machine learning repository. All values in the database are normalized in  $[0, 1]$ .

We have defined two performance measures to evaluate how close is the obtained partition to the real one. The first measure is a classification error rate. It is computed as follows: for a given cluster  $H$  obtained by AntClass, consider the majorative class among  $H$  according to the “Class” attribute. All objects of  $H$  that do not belong to this class are considered as being misclassified. The classification error rate is simply the ratio between the total number of misclassified objects for all created clusters and the total number of objects in the database. The second performance measure is simply the number of created clusters.

The results mentioned in table 1 on the artificial databases show the progression of AntClass towards a relevant classification. In the first step of AntClass, the Ant-based algorithm finds an initial partition but with

Databases and perf.	1: Ant colony on objects	2: + Kmeans on objects	3: + Ant colony on heaps	4: + Kmeans on objects
Artif. 1: Cl. err.	11.58 %	0.21 %	0.42 %	0.00 %
# of cla.	8.15	7.76	4.24	4
Artif. 2: Cl. err.	17.24 %	0.52 %	2.22 %	0.00 %
# of cla.	22.30	17.07	10.46	9.02
Artif. 3: Cl. err.	20.35 %	6.32 %	6.93 %	4.66 %
# of cla.	15.06	14.98	5.42	4.42
Artif. 4: Cl. err.	22.23 %	3.32 %	2.68 %	1.33 %
# of cla.	5.22	5.18	2.94	2.96

Table 1: Intermediary and final results obtained on each of the four steps of AntClass for the four artificial databases. “Cl. err.” stands for “classification error rate” and “# of cla.” for “number of classes”.

classification errors and really too many clusters. At the end of the second step of AntClass, i.e. the use of the Kmeans on the initial partition found in the previous step, the classification errors are reduced but the number of clusters is still really too high. This is due to the fact that the Kmeans algorithm is really sensitive to the initial partition. If this initial partition contains too many clusters, then the final partition is unlikely to be the optimal one. Once the third step has been performed, the ants converge very closely to the right number of classes by working on heaps of objects rather than objects themselves. One can notice that some classification errors remain. At the end of the fourth step, using the Kmeans once more decreases the classification errors on again. But this time, since the number of classes and an almost optimal partition have been well determined in the previous step, the Kmeans really finds optimal or near optimal results.

We describe now the results obtained with the Kmeans algorithm and with ISODATA (Ball and Hall 1965). Each algorithm is initialized with 10 classes, and all objects are initially assigned randomly to these classes. The Kmeans and ISODATA algorithms are used with 10 iterations. Results obtained by the three algorithms (AntClass, Kmeans and ISODATA) are represented in table 2. As can be seen, AntClass outperforms the two other algorithms, both in terms of classification errors and of correct number of classes, on most of the databases. The only exception is for Fisher’s Iris database. In this database, the Setosa class is completely distinguishable from the two others. The last two classes are more difficult to separate, unless more than three clusters are created, which is what Kmeans of ISODATA do.

As a conclusion, we have presented in this paper a new hybrid and ant-based algorithm named AntClass for data clustering in a knowledge discovery context. The main features of this algorithm are the following ones. AntClass deals with numerical databases. It does not require any initial information about the future classification, such as a an initial partition or an initial number of classes. AntClass introduces new heuristics for the ant colony, and also an hybridization with the

Kmeans algorithm in order to improve the convergence. We have also introduced in AntClass hierarchical clustering where ants may carry heaps of objects and not just objects. Furthermore, AntClass uses an heterogeneous population of ants in order to avoid complex parameter settings to be performed by the domain expert. Finally, AntClass has been tested with success on several databases, including real world ones. We may mention also that it has been applied to a real world but confidential application and gets competitive results with SAS 6.12.

Future work consists in two ways:

- we need to define new performance measures that could take into account both the number of classes and the quality of the classification,
- other sources of inspiration from real ants are to be considered for the clustering problem. For instance, ants that meet on the board could exchange objects.

## References

- Babu, G.P.; and Murty, M.N. 1993. A near optimal initial seed value selection in Kmeans algorithm using genetic algorithm. *Pattern Recognition Letters* 14, 763–769.
- Ball, G.H.; and Hall, D.J. 1965. ISODATA, a novel method of data analysis and pattern classification. Technical Report, Stanford Research Institute.
- Cheeseman, P.; and Stutz, J. 1996. Bayesian Classification (AutoClass): Theory and Results. In *Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, Usama M. Fayyad, Gregory Piatetsky-Shapiro, Padhraic Smyth, & Ramasamy Uthurusamy, Eds. AAAI Press/MIT Press.
- Cucchiara, R. 1993. Analysis and comparison of different genetic models for the clustering problem in image analysis. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Algorithms*, R.F. Albrecht, C.R. Reeves, and N.C. Steele (Eds), Springer-Verlag, 423–427.
- Deneubourg, J.-L.; Goss, S.; Franks, N.; Sendova-Franks, A.; Detrain, C.; and Chretien, L. 1990. The

Algo.		AntClass	AntClass	Kmeans	Kmeans	ISODATA	ISODATA
Data set	# of cla.	# of cla.	cl. err.	# of cla.	cl. err.	# of cla.	cl. err.
	(real)	(aver.)	(aver.)	(aver.)	(aver.)	(aver.)	(aver.)
Artif. 1	4	4	0.00 %	5.63	2.15 %	4.53	1.64 %
Artif. 2	9	9.02	0.00 %	9.73	12.78 %	6.38	29.11 %
Artif. 3	4	4.42	4.66 %	7.26	7.30 %	6.58	7.84 %
Artif. 4	3	2.96	1.33 %	9.60	0.00 %	9.53	0.00 %
Iris	3	3.02	15.4 %	6.95	4.63 %	4.59	6.28 %
Wine	3	3.06	5.38 %	8.98	8.57 %	9.09	8.63 %
Glass	2-6	7.7	4.48 %	7.06	50.16 %	2.34	42.98 %
Soybean	4	4.82	0.13 %	7.93	3.89 %	7.94	4.77 %
Thyroid	3	3.28	6.38 %	8.77	8.26 %	1.48	14.72 %

Table 2: Results obtained by AntClass, Kmeans and ISODATA with artificial and real world databases.

dynamic of collective sorting robot-like ants and ant-like robots. In Proceedings of the first Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior 1990, J.A. Meyer et S.W. Wilson (Eds), MIT Press/Bradford Books, 356–363.

Fisher, R. A. 1936. The Use of Multiple Measurements in Axonomic Problems. *Annals of Eugenics* 7, 179–188.

Jones, D.R.; and Beltrano, M.A. 1991. Solving partitioning problems with genetic algorithms. In Proceedings of the fourth International Conference on Genetic Algorithms, 1991, R.K. Belew and L.B. Booker (Eds), Morgan Kaufmann, 442–449.

Kuntz, P.; and Snyers, D. 1994. Emergent colonization and graph partitioning. In Proceedings of the third International Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior: From Animals to Animats 3 (SAB94), D. Cliff, P. Husbands, J.A. Meyer, S.W. Wilson (Eds), MIT-Press, 494–500.

Kuntz, P.; Layzell, P.; and Snyers, D. 1997. A colony of Ant-like agents for partitioning in VLSI technology. In Proceedings of the fourth European Conference on Artificial Life 1994, P. Husbands et I. Harvey (Eds), MIT press, 417–424.

Lumer, E.D.; and Faieta, B. 1994. Diversity and Adaptation in Populations of Clustering Ants. In Proceedings of the third International Conference on Simulation of Adaptive Behavior: From Animals to Animats 3 (SAB94), D. Cliff, P. Husbands, J.A. Meyer, S.W. Wilson (Eds), MIT-Press, 501–508.

Monmarché, N.; Slimane, M.; and Venturini, G. 1999. AntClass: discovery of clusters in numeric data by an hybridization of an ant colony with the Kmeans algorithm. Technical Report 213, Laboratoire d’Informatique, E3i, University of Tours, January 1999.