Name: Sergey Blashuk (Сергей Блошук)

Genetic algorithm configuration:
Chromosome size: 42
Populate size: 200
Epoch: 100
Mutation
One point crossover
Truncate selection

Work results:



Where X is generation and Y is Error (then less then better);
Points:

A:
0.5456212 0.44264054 0.072345614 0.968213 0.97299993 0.4165203 0.9419711 0.46587026
0.07849419 0.4333101 0.84688497 0.56225383 0.3317436 0.1033566 0.40506822 0.3687924
0.34950835 0.7360943 0.55638725 0.88603693 0.7861397 0.16891843 0.6794174 0.09630722
0.29712468 0.39154583 0.33142322 0.7592533 0.74782705 0.27072102 0.687652 0.36509848
0.3789326 0.7731133 0.5762136 0.20631003 0.6374505 1.5205145E-4 0.08940798
0.50501186 0.8762369 0.12710059

B:
0.7388291 0.99964464 0.39091504 0.81108886 0.76174325 0.62005556 0.36042124
0.9557455 0.24452579 0.120577395 0.3569411 0.40869498 0.95374 0.80706245 0.20638496
0.3860618 0.28198254 0.25567883 0.31531715 0.026140273 0.16370076 0.18330824
0.61856675 0.48481148 0.6684862 0.014447629 0.41877925 0.46704674 0.88221323
0.39763862 0.74882126 0.34414953 0.60882926 0.72660077 0.66762304 0.8668563
0.26870656 0.31269622 0.1676572 0.9886519 0.69589823 0.44865912

C (Also is best result):
0.8486005 0.65394026 0.7647919 0.71934843 0.27643389 0.4016763 0.5077293 0.09574962
0.2972365 0.9889841 0.6197682 0.96540546 0.6478222 0.85869193 0.13203806
0.0029072165 0.7844539 0.82281524 0.11223239 0.6161982 0.013413489 0.96128464
0.5602093 0.17990768 0.67863816 0.37475973 0.7316701 0.87534404 0.270212 0.90658414
0.3820988 0.2621258 0.62386763 0.39597994 0.8986156 0.06951213 0.50302845 0.6506334
0.7443688 0.13652557 0.6907076 0.6680325

Points for graph:
(0;40) (1;32) (2;32) (3;32) (4;32) (5;32) (6;32) (7;32) (8;30) (9;29) (10;27) (11;27) (12;27) (13;27) (14;27)
(15;26) (16;26) (17;25) (18;25) (19;24) (20;24) (21;24) (22;23) (23;23) (24;23) (25;23) (26;23) (27;23)
(28;22) (29;22) (30;22) (31;22) (32;22) (33;22) (34;22) (35;22) (36;22) (37;22) (38;22) (39;22) (40;22)
(41;22) (42;22) (43;22) (44;22) (45;22) (46;22) (47;22) (48;22) (49;22) (50;22) (51;22) (52;22) (53;22)
(54;22) (55;22) (56;22) (57;22) (58;22) (59;22) (60;22) (61;22) (62;22) (63;22) (64;22) (65;22) (66;22)
(67;22) (68;22) (69;22) (70;22) (71;22) (72;22) (73;22) (74;22) (75;22) (76;22) (77;22) (78;22) (79;22)
(80;22) (81;22) (82;22) (83;22) (84;22) (85;22) (86;22) (87;22) (88;22) (89;22) (90;22) (91;22) (92;22)
(93;22) (94;22) (95;22) (96;22) (97;22) (98;22) (99;22)

Conclusion:
In my case i can achive 68% accuracy. I think that? If i run algorithm with more generation and some different configuration it’s possible to achieve better results.