CONTROL AND CYBERNETICS - SYSTEMS RESEARCH INSITUTE

Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Newelska 6, 01-447 Warszawa, Poland

control@ibspan.waw.pl

REFEREE'S GENERAL EVALUATION OF A PAPER
PROPOSED TO „*CONTROL & CYBERNETICS*"
(besides the remarks contained in the paper itself, if enclosed)

Author(s):	156 *(enter the file number)*	
Title:	Estimations of the Bayes Classifier Error with Fuzzy Observations	
Reviewer:	**Akira Imada**	
1.	**Domain of the paper**	
	☐	control theory
	☐	optimisation theory and methods
	☐	operational research
	☒	data analysis / artificial intelligence
	☐	computer science / informatics
	☐	numerical methods
	☐	applications of systems analysis and theory to:
		- ☐ economics and economic systems
		- ☐ technological, physico-chemical, medical and biological systems
		- ☐ organisations and social systems
		- ☐ global modelling
		- ☐ other application domains:
	☐	other (specify):
2.	**Contribution of this paper to the above domain(s):**	
	☐	important
	☐	significant
	☒	less significant
	☐	insignificant
3.	**Is this paper:**	
	☐	original as to the
		- ☐ problem formulation
		- ☐ solution method
		- ☐ results presented (numerical and/or empirical)
		- ☐ application of methods known to date
	☐	a survey of relevance
	☒	secondary

4.	**In terms of substance matter, the paper**	
	☐	is sufficiently adequate
	☒	should be amended
	☐	causes significant reservations
5.	**In editorial terms, the paper**	
	☐	is sufficiently adequate
	☐	should be amended
	☒	should be thoroughly reedited
6.	**In terms of English language, the paper**	
	☐	is sufficiently adequate
	☐	should be amended
	☒	should be thoroughly reedited
	☐	I have no opinion on this subject
7.	**What is the practical value of the paper:**	

☐ high	☐ medium	☒ small	☐ none

8.	**Should this paper be published in „Control & Cybernetics"?**	
	☐	decidedly
	☐	after minor editorial and/or language amendments
	☐	after more important editorial and/or language amendments
	☐	after important changes in substance matter
	☐	when changes are introduced the paper should be reviewed again
	☐	should be directed to another journal (suggestion?)
	☒	no, it is unfit for publication
9.	**Is the title adequate?**	
	☒	yes
	☐	not, if not, my suggestion is:
10.	**Is a part of the paper superfluous?**	
	☐	no
	☐	yes
		comments:
11.	**Are references**	
	☐	adequate
	☐	some essential positions are omitted:
	☐	some insignificant positions are given:

12.		Is the abstract	
	☐	appropriate	
	☐	inappropriate	
		comments:	
13.		**Detailed hints for the Author (in case of necessity please attach a separate page):**	
		Take more time, first of all, to write a clear expression. For example, Abstract cannot be understadable, if not at all. Almost every line includes incorrect expressions. Example given in subsection 4.4 is not specifically meaningful. The paper is something like a text book for undergraduate and example is like a excesise in the text book, at best.	

Date: Signature

(only on one copy)